

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

4 IN RE: : Master File No.:
PROPECIA (FINASTERIDE) : 1:12-md-02331-JG-
5 PRODUCTS LIABILITY : VVP
LITIGATION : MDL No. 2331

This Document Relates To: Honorable John Gleeson
7 : Magistrate Judge
ALL CASES : Viktor Pohorelsky

DECEMBER 17, 2015

Videotape deposition of
ELIZABETH ROUND, M.D., taken pursuant to
notice, was held at the law offices of
Venable LLP, 1270 Avenue of the Americas,
24th Floor, New York, New York 10020,
beginning at 9:06 a.m., on the above
date, before Amanda Dee Maslynsky-Miller,
a Certified Realtime Reporter and Notary
Public in and for the State of New York.

GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

22 877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
deps@qolkow.com

1 A. Uh-huh.

2 Q. Yes?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. This document appeared in
5 your custodial production, okay.

6 A. Okay.

7 Q. Referring to Exhibit-55,
8 this is a peer-reviewed article from
9 Traish, et al., entitled, "Adverse Side
10 Effects of Five Alpha Reductase Inhibitor
11 Therapy, Persistent Diminished Libido and
12 Erectile Dysfunction and Depression in a
13 Subset of Patients."

14 Do you see that there?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And it's got a date code of
17 2010 under -- right before the abstract.

18 Do you see that?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Why did you have this in
21 your file?

22 A. Finasteride is a five alpha
23 reductase inhibitor. PROPECIA® is a five
24 alpha reductase inhibitor.

1 Q. So what?

2 A. The title says, Adverse Side
3 Effects of Alpha Reductase Inhibitors.

4 Q. So were you evaluating --
5 were you reviewing this article to get an
6 understanding of what the authors'
7 conclusions were with respect to the
8 impact of finasteride on persistent
9 dysfunction of diminished libido and
10 erectile dysfunction?

11 MR. MORROW: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: It was a
13 published paper about drugs that
14 act as finasteride does, as a five
15 alpha reductase inhibitor.

16 BY MR. BECKER:

17 Q. But it's a paper
18 specifically on PROPECIA®, right?

19 MR. MORROW: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: Five alpha
21 reductase inhibitors. I believe
22 it also includes dutasteride.

23 BY MR. BECKER:

24 Q. It included PROPECIA®,

1 right?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. You read the paper?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. What, if anything, did you
6 do -- what did you do after you read it?

7 MR. MORROW: Objection.

8 BY MR. BECKER:

9 Q. What did you do with the
10 information?

11 MR. MORROW: Same objection.

12 BY MR. BECKER:

13 Q. Did you do anything with the
14 information after you read it?

15 MR. MORROW: Same.

16 THE WITNESS: We assimilated
17 the information. We acknowledged
18 that we had this information.

19 BY MR. BECKER:

20 Q. Do you know who Dr. Traish
21 is?

22 A. Not personally. I know -- I
23 mean, I just see him as the author here.

24 Q. I want to go through part of

1 this document with you.

2 Directing your attention to
3 the results section. For the --

4 A. What page?

5 Q. The results on the abstract.

6 But before we do that, let
7 me -- let me go back.

8 So you had a copy of this
9 document in your file, correct?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And you read it, right?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And it's fair to say that
14 the conclusion of this document is that
15 Dr. Traish expressed some concern about
16 persistent diminished libido and erectile
17 dysfunction following discontinuation of
18 use, correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 MR. MORROW: Objection.

21 Document speaks for itself.

22 BY MR. BECKER:

23 Q. And after reviewing this
24 document, is it fair to say that Merck

1 took no action?

2 MR. MORROW: Objection.

3 BY MR. BECKER:

4 Q. To change the label?

5 MR. MORROW: Same.

6 THE WITNESS: There was no
7 change to the label.

8 BY MR. BECKER:

9 Q. Okay. Let's go through the
10 document. In the results section of the
11 abstract, it says, Prolonged adverse
12 effects on sexual dysfunction such as
13 erectile dysfunction and diminished
14 libido are reported by a subset of men,
15 raising the possibility of a causal
16 relationship.

17 Did I read that correctly?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. What's your opinion on that?

20 MR. MORROW: Objection.

21 BY MR. BECKER:

22 Q. Well, you were -- or are a
23 clinician, clinical researcher at Merck
24 for the better part of the last quarter

1 century, right?

2 A. Right.

3 Q. Your job, part in part, is
4 to identify causal risks associated with
5 known drugs, right?

6 MR. MORROW: Objection.

7 BY MR. BECKER:

8 Q. Right?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. So what I'm asking
11 you is, did this give you any concern,
12 Dr. Traish's report, that there may, in
13 fact, be a causal relationship between
14 finasteride and persistent ongoing sexual
15 dysfunction?

16 MR. MORROW: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: He raised the
18 possibility, the possibility of a
19 causal relationship.

20 BY MR. BECKER:

21 Q. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to
22 interrupt you.

23 A. No. I mean, he didn't
24 really have a lot of objective evidence

1 in this paper.

2 Q. So he raised the possibility
3 of a causal relationship, right?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And armed with that
6 knowledge, Merck did nothing to change
7 the label, true?

8 MR. MORROW: Object to the
9 form.

10 THE WITNESS: We did not
11 change the label based on this
12 paper.

13 BY MR. BECKER:

14 Q. Turn to the next page of the
15 document, if you would.

16 Do you see in the second
17 column?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. The paragraph that starts
20 with, The potential widespread.

21 A. Uh-huh.

22 Q. Okay. About six sentences
23 down, Dr. Traish writes, To date.

24 Do you see that?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. To date the adverse side
3 effects of five alpha reductase
4 inhibitors on sexual function,
5 gynecomastia and the impact on the
6 overall health have received minimal
7 attention. However, in some patients,
8 these side effects are persistent with
9 regard to sexual function and with
10 emotional toll, including decreased
11 quality of life.

12 Did I read that correctly?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Do you agree or disagree
15 with that statement?

16 MR. MORROW: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: I don't have a
18 basis to agree or disagree with
19 that statement.

20 BY MR. BECKER:

21 Q. Well, didn't you testify
22 earlier that your job was to figure out
23 causal relationships of identified risks
24 associated with the pharmaceutical?

1 MR. MORROW: Object to the
2 form.

3 THE WITNESS: Yes.

4 BY MR. BECKER:

5 Q. And one of the risks
6 associated with the use of PROPECIA® that
7 Dr. Traish is raising is persistence with
8 regard to sexual -- decreased sexual
9 function and emotional issues, right?

10 MR. MORROW: Object to the
11 form.

12 BY MR. BECKER:

13 Q. That's one of the things
14 he's talking about; isn't that true?

15 MR. MORROW: Same objection.

16 THE WITNESS: That's one of
17 the things he's talking about.

18 BY MR. BECKER:

19 Q. So I'm asking, as a
20 clinician who has worked at Merck for the
21 better part of 25 years, do you agree or
22 disagree with that statement?

23 MR. MORROW: Same objection.

24 Asked and answered.

1 THE WITNESS: He's making an
2 introductory statement here.

3 BY MR. BECKER:

4 Q. That doesn't answer my
5 question, ma'am.

6 A. He's not --

9 BY MR. BECKER:

10 Q. My question is a yes-or-no
11 question. It's you either agree or
12 disagree with the statement, Doctor?

13 MR. MORROW: That's not
14 necessarily true. Objection.

19 BY MR. BECKER:

20 Q. That's right. And I'm
21 asking whether or not you agree with
22 that.

23 MR. MORROW: Objection.

24 THE WITNESS: I don't know

1 that he's proved to me they're
2 persistent.

3 BY MR. BECKER:

4 Q. So you do not agree to with,
5 then?

6 MR. MORROW: Object to the
7 form.

8 THE WITNESS: I said he has
9 not proved to me these are
10 persistent.

11 BY MR. BECKER:

12 Q. Let me see if I can do it
13 this way.

14 If he hasn't proved it to
15 you, are you taking the position that you
16 don't believe him?

17 MR. MORROW: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: I believe that
19 some of this data is very flawed.

20 BY MR. BECKER:

21 Q. That's not my question,
22 Doctor. My question is simply, do you
23 believe the statement that these effects,
24 sexual dysfunction, are persistent with

1 regard to some patients? Do you believe
2 that?

3 MR. MORROW: Object to the
4 form. Asked and answered.

5 BY MR. BECKER:

6 Q. Do you believe that some men
7 who take finasteride will, in fact, have
8 ongoing sexual dysfunction following
9 discontinuation of use?

10 MR. MORROW: Object to the
11 form.

12 THE WITNESS: I do not know
13 that that has been definitively
14 proved.

15 BY MR. BECKER:

16 Q. That wasn't my question.

17 My question was, do you
18 believe it's possible?

19 MR. MORROW: Objection.

20 Speculation. She answered your
21 question. You just don't like the
22 answer.

23 THE WITNESS: I believe men
24 can get persistent sexual

1 dysfunction, whether it's related
2 to finasteride therapy or not, I
3 don't know.

4 BY MR. BECKER:

5 Q. Now, we looked at an e-mail
6 earlier, right, where you had evidence of
7 a man who had persistent sexual
8 dysfunction following discontinuation of
9 use, right?

10 MR. MORROW: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: I had evidence
12 of a man whose sexual AE was still
13 present 66 days after he finished
14 therapy.

15 BY MR. BECKER:

16 Q. Does 66 days fit into your
17 definition of --

18 A. I don't have a definition.

19 Q. Turn to Page 4 of document.

20 Do you see this highlighted
21 section here, Doctor? Dr. Traish writes,
22 Additional evidence is found in clinical
23 studies and in the Merck database, which
24 strongly suggest that in some patients

1 these sexual adverse effects are
2 persistent.

3 Did I read that correctly?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Do you agree with that
6 statement?

7 MR. MORROW: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: I have no idea
9 what he's referring to there.

10 BY MR. BECKER:

11 Q. We looked at a document that
12 had -- we looked at the document
13 regarding the Phase 3 clinical trials
14 that reported, from the trials --

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. -- persistent ongoing sexual
17 dysfunction, correct?

18 MR. MORROW: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure
20 how -- where he's making this
21 statement from. Additional
22 evidence is found in the clinical
23 studies and in the Merck database.

24 MR. BECKER: I'm going to --

1 I have to object to that.

2 THE WITNESS: All right.

3 BY MR. BECKER:

4 Q. Doctor, I need you to listen
5 to the question that I'm answering -- I'm
6 asking and answer those.

7 A. Okay.

8 Q. Okay. If your lawyer wants
9 to ask you questions at the end, he's
10 free to do that.

11 A. Okay.

12 Q. But I need you to answer my
13 questions.

14 A. Okay.

15 Q. And my question is this: We
16 looked at a document that was an e-mail
17 to you and Dr. Kaufman that said, or
18 evidenced, persistent ongoing sexual
19 dysfunction from the Phase 3 clinical
20 trials, right?

21 MR. MORROW: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: From years
23 three to five, yes.

24 BY MR. BECKER:

1 Q. And you testified earlier
2 that Sweden amended the label in 2009 to
3 reflect persistent ongoing sexual
4 dysfunction, correct?

5 MR. MORROW: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: Yes.

7 BY MR. BECKER:

8 Q. And that information was
9 corroborated by Merck's adverse event
10 database and reports of ongoing sexual
11 dysfunction in that database, right?

12 MR. MORROW: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: Yes.

14 BY MR. BECKER:

15 Q. And that information was
16 reported publicly, correct?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Isn't that what he's talking
19 about here, that there was evidence from
20 the Merck database, that's the adverse
21 event database, suggesting persistent
22 ongoing sexual dysfunction?

23 MR. MORROW: Object to the
24 form.

1 THE WITNESS: That's why I
2 asked the question, I didn't know
3 what he was referring to.

4 BY MR. BECKER:

5 Q. Well, you have an
6 understanding, Doctor, as a 25-year
7 employee of Merck, that there is an
8 adverse event database, right?

9 A. Correct.

10 MR. MORROW: Objection.

11 BY MR. BECKER:

12 Q. And that adverse event
13 database is put in place so that Merck
14 and regulatory agencies can identify
15 signals of potential causative risks
16 associated with the use of a drug, right?

17 MR. MORROW: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: It's put in
19 place to collect adverse events in
20 postmarketing.

21 BY MR. BECKER:

22 Q. So as to identify potential
23 causative risks of the use of a drug,
24 right?

1 MR. MORROW: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 BY MR. BECKER:

4 Q. That was a yes, right?

5 MR. MORROW: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: Yes.

7 BY MR. BECKER:

8 Q. And upon reviewing that
9 information, Sweden required you to
10 change the label to reflect persistent
11 erectile dysfunction following
12 discontinuation of use?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay. So my question is, do
15 you agree or disagree that additional
16 evidence is found in the clinical studies
17 and in the Merck database which strongly
18 suggests that in some patients the sexual
19 adverse effects are persistent?

20 MR. MORROW: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: I'm not -- I'm
22 not clear what studies he's
23 referring to when he says "in
24 clinical studies;" what studies he

1 has access to, what he's talking
2 about.

3 BY MR. BECKER:

4 Q. I'm simply asking, Doctor,
5 whether you agree or disagree with this
6 statement? You either do or you don't.

7 MR. MORROW: Object to the
8 form.

9 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure
10 that it's strong evidence.

11 BY MR. BECKER:

12 Q. How about some evidence?

13 MR. MORROW: Objection.

14 BY MR. BECKER:

15 Q. The fact of the matter is,
16 Doctor, there is evidence in your
17 database, in Merck's database and in the
18 Phase 3 year three through five clinical
19 trials that suggests that some -- that in
20 some patients, the sexual adverse effects
21 are persistent; isn't that true?

22 MR. MORROW: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: There are
24 reports of persistent sexual

1 adverse events in the Merck
2 database.

3 BY MR. BECKER:

4 Q. So is that a yes, that is
5 true?

6 MR. MORROW: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: That -- that
8 is true. I would not put it in
9 this context. You're asking me to
10 agree with the whole sentence.

11 BY MR. BECKER:

12 Q. He goes on to say, Clearly
13 the sexual adverse events do not
14 necessarily resolve completely in all
15 patients who discontinue use of
16 finasteride, again supporting the
17 premises that in some patients, these
18 sexual side effects remain persistent.

19 Did I read that correctly?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Do you agree or disagree
22 with that statement, Doctor?

23 MR. MORROW: Objection.

24 THE WITNESS: He's talking

1 about in the postmarketing
2 reports, yes.

3 BY MR. BECKER:

4 Q. So you agree --

5 A. In the postmarketing
6 reports.

7 Q. Let me finish my question,
8 Doctor.

9 You agree that -- that
10 sexual adverse events do not necessarily
11 resolve completely in all patients who
12 discontinue use of finasteride?

13 MR. MORROW: Object to the
14 form.

15 THE WITNESS: Based on the
16 postmarketing reports. He
17 introduces that section by talking
18 about postmarketing.

19 BY MR. BECKER:

20 Q. You agree with that
21 statement? That's all I'm asking.

22 MR. MORROW: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: That's the AEs
24 that are in the label now.

1 BY MR. BECKER:

2 Q. Well, but, Doctor, in
3 fairness, the AEs that are in the label
4 are buffered by the sentence that they
5 discontinue upon -- that they go away --
6 they resolve upon discontinuation, right?

7 MR. MORROW: Object to the
8 form.

9 THE WITNESS: I was
10 referring to the postmarketing
11 section.

12 BY MR. BECKER:

13 Q. Well, but this is prior to
14 the amendment to the U.S. label, right?

15 A. What is? This paper?

16 Q. This article is written in
17 2010, is it not?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Okay. And you had yet to --
20 Merck had yet to amend the United States
21 label to reflect the fact that
22 postmarketing surveillance reported
23 persistent ongoing sexual dysfunction
24 following discontinuation of use, right?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. And armed with this article,
3 you did nothing?

4 MR. MORROW: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: Correct.

6 BY MR. BECKER:

7 Q. Let me show you --

8 - - -
9 (Whereupon, Exhibit-56,
10 Irwig Article, "Persistent Sexual
11 Side Effects of Finasteride for
12 Male Pattern Hair Loss," Bates
13 MRKP0002137734-40, was marked for
14 identification.)

15 - - -

16 MR. BECKER: Sorry, guys, I
17 only have two of these. No, I
18 have three.

19 56.

20 MR. MORROW: Give me a
21 minute.

22 MR. BECKER: Take your time.
23 So I've got two or three
24 more documents before a natural

1 stopping point. Do you want to
2 press forward to, like, 12:30-ish?
3 It's up to all you. You're the
4 witness and you guys --

5 MR. MORROW: I'm sorry, say
6 it again.

7 MR. BECKER: I have, like,
8 two or three more documents until
9 a natural break. We'll go to
10 about 12:30? But you have a
11 witness and you guys are the court
12 reporter. So whatever you want to
13 do.

14 MR. MORROW: How do you
15 feel? Do you want to keep going
16 or do you want to take a break?

17 MR. BECKER: The faster we
18 go, the faster we end.

19 THE WITNESS: Let's see what
20 it looks like.

21 - - -

22 (Whereupon, a discussion off
23 the record occurred.)

24 - - -

1 BY MR. BECKER:

2 Q. So I have in front of you
3 there, Doctor, Exhibit-56.

4 Do you see that there?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Okay. This also appeared in
7 your custodial file.

8 Do you recall reviewing this
9 document or reading this article?

10 A. I recall the article.

11 Q. Okay. It's an article from
12 Dr. Irwig, of the George Washington
13 University, entitled, "Persistent Sexual
14 Side Effects for Finasteride For Male
15 Pattern Hair Loss."

16 Did I read that correctly?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And it appears in the
19 Journal of Sexual -- Sex Medicine,
20 correct?

21 MR. MORROW: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: Yes.

23 BY MR. BECKER:

24 Q. The article is dated 2011.

1 Do you see that there?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. I want to go through just
4 some of his results.

5 All right. You recall
6 reading this article at the time you
7 received it?

8 A. I read it at the time I
9 received it, yes.

10 Q. And in connection with that,
11 you had an understanding that Dr. Irwig
12 had evaluated a cohort of men who
13 believed that they had persistent ongoing
14 sexual dysfunction following
15 discontinuation of use, correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And he reported, after that
18 review, that 94 percent of the subjects
19 developed low libido, correct?

20 MR. MORROW: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: That's what
22 the statement says here.

23 BY MR. BECKER:

24 0. And 92 percent developed

1 erectile dysfunction.

2 Do you see that?

3 A. Yes.

4 MR. MORROW: Form.

5 BY MR. BECKER:

6 Q. 92 developed decreased
7 arousal?

8 MR. MORROW: Object to the
9 form.

10 THE WITNESS: Yes.

11 BY MR. BECKER:

12 Q. And 69 percent developed
13 problems with orgasms.

14 Do you see that there?

15 MR. MORROW: Object to the
16 form.

17 THE WITNESS: Yes.

18 BY MR. BECKER:

19 Q. Do you have any evidence, as
20 you sit here today, that that data was,
21 in fact, inaccurate?

22 MR. MORROW: Objection.

23 This is.

24 THE WITNESS: This is a

1 selected group of patients with
2 sexual AEs following finasteride.

3 BY MR. BECKER:

4 Q. Right. I mean, it's men who
5 are saying, I continue to have adverse
6 events -- I continue to have sexual
7 dysfunction following the time I stopped
8 taking PROPECIA®, right?

9 A. Yes.

10 MR. MORROW: Objection.

11 BY MR. BECKER:

12 Q. And they're reporting these
13 are their symptoms, true?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. What, if anything, did Merck
16 do with this data?

17 MR. MORROW: Object to the
18 form.

19 THE WITNESS: We reviewed
20 the paper.

21 BY MR. BECKER:

22 Q. And based upon your review,
23 what did you do?

24 A. I don't recall that we took

1 any action, if that's what you're asking.

2 Q. He reports that, The mean
3 duration of finasteride use was 28 months
4 and the mean duration of persistent
5 sexual side effects was 40 months from
6 the time of finasteride cessation to the
7 interview date.

8 Do you see that?

9 A. I do.

10 Q. Would 40 months constitute
11 persistent ongoing sexual dysfunction?

12 MR. MORROW: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: I don't have a
14 definition for persistent.

15 BY MR. BECKER:

16 Q. So if a label talks about
17 symptoms being resolved upon
18 discontinuation of use, don't you think
19 it would be fair to tell doctors and
20 patients what the temporal nexus was
21 between the time the person discontinued
22 the use and the date when the symptoms
23 actually went away?

24 MR. MORROW: Objection.

4 BY MR. BECKER:

5 Q. But let's assume for
6 argument's sake that these men's symptoms
7 resolved at 40 months. Isn't there a
8 difference between a label that says your
9 symptoms will resolve 40 months after you
10 discontinue use versus your symptoms will
11 ultimately resolve?

12 Isn't there a fundamental
13 difference between those two statements?

14 MR. MORROW: Object to the
15 form.

16 THE WITNESS: There is a
17 difference.

18 BY MR. BECKER:

19 Q. Is Merck putting patient
20 safety first when it refuses to identify
21 the temporal connection between
22 discontinuation of drugs and how long it
23 takes for those symptoms to actually
24 resolve?

1 MR. MORROW: Object to the
2 form.

3 THE WITNESS: No. The
4 persistence of sexual AEs has been
5 added to the label based on
6 postmarketing. We've also
7 established that postmarketing
8 data is limited. And this author
9 himself cites the limitations of
10 this study; the post hoc approach,
11 selection bias, record bias, no
12 serum hormone level.

13 MR. BECKER: Objection,
14 nonresponsive. Move to strike
15 everything after "no."

16 MR. MORROW: Objection.

17 BY MR. BECKER:

18 Q. My question is, Doctor, if
19 we can agree that time from
20 discontinuation to resolution is
21 important, shouldn't you tell patients
22 what that time is?

23 MR. MORROW: Object to the
24 form. That's a different

1 question.

2 THE WITNESS: It would
3 appear to be very variable for
4 each of these patients.

5 BY MR. BECKER:

6 Q. That didn't answer my
7 question.

8 You either should or
9 shouldn't have to tell them what the time
10 is.

11 What's your view?

12 MR. MORROW: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: I don't think
14 there's a need to tell them the
15 time.

16 BY MR. BECKER:

17 Q. So in Merck's view, if the
18 time to resolution was three and-a-half
19 years, it would be okay to withhold that
20 information from patients?

21 MR. MORROW: Object to the
22 form. Mischaracterizes the
23 testimony.

24 You may answer.

1 THE WITNESS: No, that
2 shouldn't be withheld from the
3 patient.

4 BY MR. BECKER:

5 Q. So at what point in time
6 does persistence become -- at what point
7 in time do you believe Merck should alert
8 patients that it takes to resolve these
9 symptoms after discontinuation?

10 MR. MORROW: Object to the
11 form.

12 MR. BECKER: Let me start
13 over because I agree with his
14 objection on that one.

15 BY MR. BECKER:

16 Q. It's fair there's no --
17 there's no indication in the label that
18 symptoms will resolve after a given
19 amount of time has passed, right?

20 A. Right.

21 Q. All the label says is that
22 stop taking the drug and the symptoms go
23 away?

24 A. Uh-huh.

1 Q. Yes?

2 A. Yes. In the clinical
3 trials --

4 MR. MORROW: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: -- yes.

6 BY MR. BECKER:

7 Q. Isn't it a fair inference
8 from that, that the symptoms resolve
9 quickly after you discontinue use?

10 MR. MORROW: Objection.

11 Speculation.

12 THE WITNESS: Based on the
13 clinical trials, I don't believe
14 it was a long time.

15 BY MR. BECKER:

16 Q. That wasn't my question.

17 My question was, wasn't the
18 inference that Merck was making was that
19 symptoms would quickly resolve upon
20 discontinuation of use?

21 MR. MORROW: Object to the
22 form.

23 THE WITNESS: I don't know
24 that the argument was quickly

1 resolve. We just said that they
2 would -- they resolved on
3 discontinuation, what we saw in
4 the clinical trials.

5 BY MR. BECKER:

6 Q. Can we -- would you agree
7 with me that the longer it takes to have
8 these symptoms resolve after
9 discontinuation of use, the more
10 obligation Merck has to alert patients of
11 that -- of that issue?

12 MR. MORROW: Object to the
13 form.

14 THE WITNESS: We now have
15 reports in the adverse experiences
16 section that talk about
17 persistence. We don't put a
18 qualifying -- a qualifying time
19 period on that.

20 MR. BECKER: Objection.

21 Hold on. Nonresponsive. Move to
22 strike.

23 BY MR. BECKER:

24 Q. Let me see if I can do it

1 this way, Doctor.

2 Would you agree that if in
3 some men these symptoms occurred six
4 months after discontinuation of use, that
5 Merck would have an obligation to report
6 that in the label?

7 MR. MORROW: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: Do you mean
9 that these events had a new onset
10 six months after?

11 BY MR. BECKER:

12 Q. No, no. I'm asking you,
13 Merck does not dispute the fact that
14 sexual -- adverse sexual events can occur
15 while on a drug; you don't dispute that,
16 do you?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Merck takes the position
19 that at some point following
20 discontinuation of use, those symptoms go
21 away, right?

22 A. As observed in the trials,
23 yes.

24 Q. What I'm trying to get at

1 is, how long after discontinuation of use
2 should Merck tell patients and doctors
3 those symptoms take to resolve?

6 A. I do understand the
7 question.

8 MR. MORROW: Objection.

9 You can answer.

10 BY MR. BECKER:

11 Q. And my question is, would
12 you agree that if the symptoms did not
13 resolve for a month, that Merck should
14 alert patients who take the drug that it
15 may take up to a month for their sexual
16 dysfunction -- for their sexual function
17 to return?

18 MR. MORROW: Objection.

19 BY MR. BECKER:

20 Q. Should you tell patients
21 that?

22 MR. MORROW: Objection.

23 BY MR. BECKER:

24 0. Should you tell patients

1 that?

2 MR. MORROW: Objection.

3 THE WITNESS: If we had that
4 information, yes.

5 BY MR. BECKER:

6 Q. Okay. Now, you have a
7 worldwide adverse event database, right?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And you had clinical trials,
10 right?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And in those clinical
13 trials, the data reported resolution
14 after discontinuation of use for some
15 patients, right?

16 A. Yes, yes.

17 Q. And sometimes that
18 resolution took several hundred days or
19 up to a year, right?

20 MR. MORROW: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: I don't know
22 that.

23 BY MR. BECKER:

24 Q. If the data demonstrates

1 that resolution took a long time
2 following discontinuation of use,
3 shouldn't you have told patients and
4 doctors that?

5 MR. MORROW: Object to the
6 form.

10 BY MR. BECKER:

11 Q. That wasn't my question,
12 though.

13 A. I understand.

14 Q. So I'd like an answer to my
15 question.

16 MR. MORROW: Same objection.

19 - - -
20 (Whereupon, Exhibit-57,
21 4/6/11 E-mail to Cynthia Silb
22 from Christine Alberts,
23 Bates MRKP0001390080-81, was
24 marked for identification.)

1 was drawing a distinction between Europe
2 and the U.S., so I was trying to talk to
3 you about that.

4 So let me see if I can do it
5 this way.

6 MR. MORROW: Objection.

7 BY MR. BECKER:

8 Q. Why did you conduct this
9 review in April of 2011?

10 A. I don't recall.

11 Q. Do you think it might have
12 been in response to the mounting evidence
13 from the Traish article, the Irwig
14 article and Merck's own experience with
15 sale and distribution of the drug?

16 MR. MORROW: Object to the
17 form.

18 THE WITNESS: I don't
19 recall.

20 BY MR. BECKER:

21 Q. Okay. Now, if you look at
22 Exhibit 58 --

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. -- it says, in Paragraph 2,

1 To identify cases that may represent
2 persistent sexual dysfunction, the MAH
3 reviewed the reports with an outcome of
4 not recovered in whom finasteride therapy
5 was discontinued.

6 Do you see that there?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And it found a total of 446
9 reports, right?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. You then went back and
12 looked at the Worldwide Adverse
13 Experience System, right?

14 MR. MORROW: Objection.

15 BY MR. BECKER:

16 Q. Or that was in context with
17 the Worldwide Adverse Experience System,
18 true?

19 A. Yes.

20 MR. MORROW: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: Yes.

22 BY MR. BECKER:

23 Q. And this memo indicates, The
24 Worldwide Adverse Experience System, WAES

1 database, was searched for spontaneous
2 reports of sexual dysfunction received
3 from healthcare providers, including
4 regulatory agencies and consumers and
5 patients on therapy with finasteride, 1
6 milligram, and .2 milligram tablet
7 PROPECIA®, from market introduction, 11
8 September 1998, to 31 December 2010.

9 Do you see that?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Did I read that correctly?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And then it goes on to
14 identify the search terms, right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Persistence is not included
17 in this search term, is it?

18 A. There is no term for
19 persistence.

20 Q. That was my next question.

21 The reason why it's not
22 included is because you didn't have a
23 field in the MedDRA database to chronicle
24 persistence, right?

1 MR. MORROW: Object to the
2 form.

3 THE WITNESS: It's not that
4 we don't have a field, MedDRA
5 doesn't have the field.

6 BY MR. BECKER:

7 Q. You could have created one,
8 right?

9 MR. MORROW: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure
11 of the process for creating terms.

12 BY MR. BECKER:

13 Q. Are you saying that you were
14 absolutely precluded from looking or --
15 at a term that existed within the
16 narrative reports of the adverse event
17 database?

18 MR. MORROW: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: I'm not saying
20 we were precluded. I think the
21 next paragraph explains what --
22 what the CREMS group did to
23 uncover adverse experiences that
24 were continuing after the

1 discontinued -- not recovered
2 after they discontinued the drug.
3 That's how they did it.

4 BY MR. BECKER:

5 Q. Doctor, I really need you to
6 answer the questions I'm asking.

7 MR. MORROW: She is
8 answering the questions.

9 MR. BECKER: She is not
10 answering. She is not.

11 MR. MORROW: You just don't
12 like it.

13 MR. BECKER: Believe me,
14 there's plenty in this depo I
15 like.

16 MR. MORROW: Move to strike.

17 BY MR. BECKER:

18 Q. There -- there was no term
19 for persistence in the MedDRA database,
20 right?

21 MR. MORROW: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: There was no
23 term.

24 BY MR. BECKER:

1 Q. There was no term for
2 withdrawal syndrome in the MedDRA
3 database, right?

4 A. I don't know that.

5 Q. There was no term for not
6 recovered in the MedDRA database, right?

7 A. I don't know that.

8 MR. MORROW: Objection.

9 BY MR. BECKER:

10 Q. The term you searched was
11 not recovered, right?

12 MR. MORROW: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: We searched
14 for an outcome of not recovered on
15 the adverse experience reports.

16 BY MR. BECKER:

17 Q. So the only way that you
18 could do that was if you actually found
19 all of the reports and read all of the
20 narratives, right?

21 MR. MORROW: Object to the
22 form.

23 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure
24 about that.

1 BY MR. BECKER:

2 Q. You don't have any -- with
3 these other terms, you can run a query
4 within MedDRA to actually pull up these
5 various adverse events, right?

6 A. All these adverse experience
7 terms, yes.

8 Q. Wouldn't it have been
9 easier, from a postmarketing surveillance
10 standpoint, to actually include a term
11 for persistence?

12 MR. MORROW: Object to the
13 form.

14 THE WITNESS: I don't know
15 whether it would have been easier.

16 BY MR. BECKER:

17 Q. But you knew that
18 persistence might be a problem as early
19 as 1998, right?

20 MR. MORROW: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: We knew --
22 1998?

23 BY MR. BECKER:

24 Q. Dr. Kaufman wrote about

1 ejaculate disorders in 1998, that
2 reversibility was impossible --
3 reversibility upon discontinuation was
4 impossible, due to postmarketing reports,
5 right? You remember that document?

6 MR. MORROW: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: It was
8 impossible to establish based on
9 postmarketing reports.

10 BY MR. BECKER:

11 Q. So you knew as early as 1998
12 that some men were continuing to
13 experience sexual dysfunction following
14 discontinuation of use, right?

15 MR. MORROW: Object to the
16 form. Mischaracterizes prior
17 testimony.

18 You may answer.

19 THE WITNESS: Could you
20 repeat that question?

21 BY MR. BECKER:

22 Q. Based on Dr. Kaufman's
23 e-mail, Merck was aware of the fact, as
24 early as 1998, that there were reports of

1 persistent ongoing sexual dysfunction
2 following discontinuation of use, true?

3 MR. MORROW: Same objection.

4 THE WITNESS: I understood

5 Dr. Kaufman's e-mail to mean that
6 you couldn't prove reversibility
7 from postmarketing reports, not --

8 BY MR. BECKER:

9 Q. Let's go to something you do
10 understand.

11 MR. MORROW: Are you
12 finished with your answer?

13 BY MR. BECKER:

14 Q. Were you finished with your
15 answer?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. You received an e-mail in
18 2000 that provided evidence of persistent
19 ongoing sexual dysfunction from the
20 clinical trial itself, right?

21 MR. MORROW: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: We reviewed
23 that e-mail. We had an e-mail,
24 with no data on it, that said that

1 A. No.

2 MR. MORROW: Objection.

3 BY MR. BECKER:

4 Q. The drug is on the market
5 with a label that talks about
6 persistence?

7 A. It has a label, adverse
8 events in the postmarketing --

9 Q. So a doctor reading that --

10 A. -- section. It does not
11 contain that in the warning section of
12 the label.

13 Q. A doctor reading that would
14 be able to counsel his or her patient on
15 reports of ongoing persistent erectile
16 dysfunction so as the patient could make
17 an informed choice, right?

18 MR. MORROW: Object to the
19 form.

20 THE WITNESS: Yes.

21 BY MR. BECKER:

22 Q. That wasn't in the label
23 prior to 2012 in the United States,
24 right?

1 A. No.

2 MR. MORROW: Objection.

3 BY MR. BECKER:

4 Q. So prior to 2012, when that
5 finally made it into the label, does the
6 benefit of hair growth outweigh the risk
7 of persistent to permanent erectile
8 dysfunction?

9 MR. MORROW: Object to the
10 form.

11 THE WITNESS: That has to be
12 a choice for the patient seeking
13 treatment.

14 BY MR. BECKER:

15 Q. How does a patient make an
16 informed choice if they don't know the
17 risk is present?

18 A. They can't.

19 MR. MORROW: Object to the
20 form.

21 BY MR. BECKER:

22 Q. Exactly. And so prior to
23 2012 when the FDA approved the label, the
24 only person or people that could make

1 that assessment was Merck, right?

2 MR. MORROW: Objection.

3 THE WITNESS: Based on the
4 label in the U.S., the physician
5 did not have that information.

6 BY MR. BECKER:

7 Q. Right. So the only people
8 that had that information at their
9 disposal were, in fact, Merck, right?

10 MR. MORROW: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: It was in the
12 EU label.

13 BY MR. BECKER:

14 Q. Right. But you testified
15 earlier, you have no idea if you gave
16 this document to the FDA, right?

17 MR. MORROW: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: I did.

19 BY MR. BECKER:

20 Q. And so if the FDA didn't
21 have this data, there would be no way
22 they could evaluate it, right?

23 MR. MORROW: Objection.

24 THE WITNESS: The FDA -- I'm